Communication pursuant to Rule 52 EPC

The declaration of priority for the above-mentioned European patent application is not considered to be valid because of the following:

☐ the date of filing of the previous application and/or the State party to the Paris Convention or Member of the World Trade Organization in or for which the previous filing was made has (have) not been indicated in the declaration of priority (R. 52(1) EPC).

The file number can still be filed within the period to be specified in the communication under Rule 59 EPC.

☐ the date of filing of the application ( ) does not lie within the period of the twelve months from the date of filing of the application of which the earliest priority is claimed (Art. 87(1) EPC and R. 52(1) EPC).

The applicant is informed that he may still have a valid priority right for the above mentioned application by

☐ filing a corrected declaration of priority within the time period laid down in Rule 52(3) EPC, if the applicant has not requested publication of the application under Article 93(1)(b) EPC (R. 52(4) EPC), or

☐ Means of redress
Re-establishment of rights (Art. 122 EPC)

The applicant who, in spite of all due care required by the circumstances having been taken, was unable to observe the time limit, shall have his rights re-established upon request, provided that the time limits and other requirements of Rule 136(1) and (2) EPC are met.