The compiled list of terminology on written description/sufficiency of disclosure

1. In terms of whether the invention for which a patent is sought is described in the description, each of offices use the following terms:

EPO: support in description (Part F Chapter IV, 6.)
JPO: support (Part II, Chapter 2, Section 2)
KIPO: support (Part II, Chapter 4, 3.)
SIPO: support (Part II, Chapter 2, 3.2.1)
USPTO: written description (MPEP2163)

2. In terms of whether description is complete for the claimed invention to be carried out, each of offices use the following terms:

EPO: sufficiency of disclosure (Part F, Chapter III, 1.)
JPO: enablement (Part II, Chapter 1, Section 1)
KIPO: enablement (Part II, Chapter 3, 2)
SIPO: enablement (Part II, Chapter 2, 2.1.3)
USPTO: enablement (MPEP2164)

3. In terms of whether each of claims is clear, each of offices use the following terms:

EPO: clarity (Part F, Chapter IV, 4.)
JPO: clarity (Part II, Chapter 2, Section 3)
KIPO: clarity (Part II, Chapter 4, 4.)
SIPO: clarity (Part II, Chapter 2, 3.2.2)
USPTO: definiteness (MPEP 2173)

[Note] The usage of these terms is not dependent on different technical fields.