

## Discussion of IP5 on Patent Harmonization

3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of IP5 Heads of Office and IP5 Industry 5 June 2014

**Korean Intellectual Property Office** 

## **Progress of PHEP**



- ➤ The PHEP has been endeavoring to make fruitful discussion on Patent Harmonization
  - IP5 Industry list was submitted in the 2<sup>nd</sup> PHEP meeting in Daejeon
  - The importance of user-driven harmonization was acknowledged by IP5

- Priority Topics were selected at Ad hoc Meeting in April 2014 (Based on internal analysis and user consultation of each office)
  - Citation of Prior Art
  - Unity of Invention
  - Written Description, Sufficiency of Disclosure

## **Progress of PHEP**



- > IP5 Offices reported Consultation Results with User Groups (preliminary)
  - Positive Feedback on
    - the selection of 3 topics
    - the agreement that the PHEP discussion will be user-driven
  - Opinions on the selected Topics and Procedures



#### Structure of the Work [KINPA, JIPA]

- Expected Output of Discussion
  - Status: Legal requirements, policy backgrounds, examination practice
  - Analysis: commonalities and differences
  - Cases and Opinions: submitted by stakeholders and IP experts
  - Best Practice: future directions for harmonization considering inputs from stakeholders and each office



#### **Process** [JIPA, KINPA]

Step	Contents
1 *	Select detailed items for study and discussion on each selected topic
2 *	Collect Followings from each of IP5:  (Report) Legal requirements, policy backgrounds, practices in examination (Cases) Field of IP practice and applicants (Opinions) User groups and outside IP experts
3	<ul> <li>Comprehensive Analysis of the results from the individual office</li> <li>Factual commonalities and differences</li> <li>User views on the differences</li> <li>Etc.</li> </ul>
4	Draw the Best Practice or Future Directions for Harmonization
5	Prepare a Comprehensive Report and open to the public

<sup>\*</sup> User group is expected to participate in.



#### **Discussion Items** [All IP5 Industry Groups ]

#### Citation of Prior Art

- Applicants' burden
- Utilization of CCDs and electronically available documents

#### Unity of Invention

Differences among IP5 offices and some technical fields

#### Written Description/Sufficiency of Disclosure

- Requirements for specific technical fields
   (e.g. chemical compounds, pharmaceuticals, electric circuits)
- Best Mode requirement
- Later submission of information
- Effect on claim interpretation by written description (e.g. means plus function)



#### Further Considerations

- Priority Order of Three Topics
- User's Participation
- Schedules
- > Etc.



# Thank You