Outline of the EPO adequacy referential
Introduction

Under Article 9(2) of the EPO Data Protection Rules (EPO DPR), data transfers to a country or international organisation must only take place if the country of the recipient, a territory or one or more specified sectors within that country or the international organisation in question ensures an adequate level of protection.

The concept of "adequate level of protection" refers to the level of protection of personal data offered in the third country or international organisation. The analysis covers the content of the applicable rules1 and the means for ensuring they are applied effectively.

The purpose of adequacy decisions by the President of the European Patent Office (EPO) is to formally confirm that the level of protection of personal data offered by a country of a recipient or an international organisation can be considered essentially equivalent to that at the EPO.2

This document outlines the basic elements of a data protection framework and the procedural and enforcement mechanisms that must be assessed to decide whether the protection afforded by the country of the recipient3 or by an international organisation can be considered adequate from a data protection perspective.

Adequacy referential

The data protection framework in place in a country or international organisation must contain the following basic data protection principles and procedural/enforcement mechanisms.

1. Principles, rights and safeguards

1.1. Key data protection concepts and/or principles. Even if not identical, these should be consistent with those enshrined in the EPO data protection framework.4

1.2. Grounds for lawful and fair processing for legitimate purposes. Personal data must be processed in a lawful, fair and legitimate manner and the legal bases should be set out sufficiently clearly.

1.3. The purpose limitation principle. Personal data should be processed for a specific purpose and subsequently used only in so far as this is not incompatible with the purpose of the processing.

---

1 Including the assessment of the legal framework for public authorities’ access to personal data.
2 The concept of “adequate level of protection” was firstly introduced in EU law under Directive 95/46 and further developed by the CJEU, particularly that while the “level of protection” in the third country or international organisation must be “essentially equivalent” to that guaranteed in the EU, “the means to which that third country has recourse, in this connection, for the purpose of such a level of protection may differ from those employed within the [EU]” (see Case C-362/14, Maximillian Schrems v Data Protection Commissioner, 6 October 2015, §§ 73, 74). Although EU law is not applicable to the EPO, the concepts of “adequate level of protection” and “essential equivalence” have been adopted under the EPO data protection framework.
3 The “country of the recipient” should be understood as third countries under Article 3(1)(u) and country of recipients not covered by Article 8(1), (2) and (5) EPO DPR.
4 By way of example, the EPO DPR includes the following important concepts: “personal data”, “processing of personal data”, “data controller”, “data processor”, “recipient” and “special categories of personal data”.
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1.4. **The data accuracy and minimisation principles.** Personal data should be accurate and, where necessary, kept up to date. The data should be adequate, relevant and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are processed.

1.5. **Storage limitation principle.** As a general rule, personal data should be kept for no longer than is necessary for the purposes for which the personal data are processed.

1.6. **Integrity and confidentiality principles.** Personal data should be processed in a manner that guarantees their security. This includes appropriate technical or organisational measures and protection against unauthorised or unlawful processing, accidental loss, destruction or damage.

1.7. **The transparency principle.** Data subjects should be informed of all the main elements of the processing of their personal data in a clear, easily accessible, concise, transparent and intelligible form.

1.8. **The rights of access, rectification, erasure and objection.**

   - Data subjects should have the right to obtain confirmation about whether or not data processing concerning them is taking place. They should also be able to access their data, including obtaining a copy of all data relating to them that are being processed.

   - Data subjects should have the right to obtain rectification of their data as appropriate, for specified reasons, for example where the data are shown to be inaccurate or incomplete. Data subjects should also have the right to erasure of their personal data when for example their processing is no longer necessary or unlawful.

   - Data subjects should also have the right to object, at any time, to the processing of their data under specific conditions established in the country's or international organisation's legal framework, on grounds relating to their particular situation. In the EPO DPR, for example, data subjects have the right to object to the processing of their personal data based on Article 5(a) when the "processing is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the exercise of the official activities of the European Patent Organisation or in the legitimate exercise of the official authority vested in the controller, which includes the processing necessary for the Office's management and functioning".

   Furthermore, it should not be excessively cumbersome for data subjects to exercise these rights, and there may be possible restrictions on those rights.

1.9. **Special categories of personal data.** There should be further safeguards in place where specific types of processing occur. For instance, when "special categories of personal data" are involved, more demanding requirements should be set out, such as the data subject giving their explicit consent for the processing or appropriate safeguards being put in place to protect the data subjects' rights and freedoms.

1.10. **Automated decision-making and profiling.** Decisions based solely on automated processing (automated individual decision-making), including profiling, which produce legal
effects or significantly affect the data subject, can take place only under certain conditions established in the legal framework of the country of the recipient or international organisation. Under the EPO DPR, such conditions include, for example, the need to obtain the explicit consent of the data subject or the necessity of such a decision for entering into, or performance of, a contract between the data subject and the controller. If the decision does not comply with such conditions as laid down in the country’s or international organisation’s legal framework, the data subject should have the right not to be subject to it. The legal framework of the country or international organisation should, in any case, provide for necessary safeguards, including the right to be informed about the specific reasons underlying the decision and the logic involved, to correct inaccurate or incomplete information by obtaining a human intervention, to express their point of view and to contest the decision where it has been adopted on an incorrect factual basis.

1.11. **Rules on onward transfers.** The level of protection for data subjects' personal data must not be undermined by onward transfers. Further transfers of the personal data by the initial recipient should only be permitted where the further recipient (i.e. the recipient of the onward transfer) is also subject to rules (including contractual obligations) affording an adequate level of protection, following the relevant instructions if processing data on behalf of the data controller, for limited and specified purposes and as long as there is a legal ground for that processing.

2. **Procedural and enforcement mechanisms**

Although the legal redress and oversight mechanisms provided by the country or international organisation to data subjects may differ from those in place at the EPO, for the purpose of assessing whether the level of protection afforded by the country or international organisation is adequate, the relevant data protection framework must include the following elements.

2.1. **Competent oversight mechanism:** one or more independent oversight mechanisms or supervisory authorities tasked with monitoring, ensuring and enforcing compliance with data protection and privacy provisions in the country or international organisation. The oversight mechanism shall act independently and impartially in performing its duties and exercising its powers and in doing so shall neither seek nor accept instructions. In that context, the oversight mechanism should have the necessary available powers and mandate to ensure compliance with data protection rights and promote awareness.

2.2. **Good level of compliance:** the data protection system should ensure (cumulatively):
   (i) a high degree of accountability
   (ii) awareness among data controllers and those processing personal data on their behalf of their obligations, tasks and responsibilities
   (iii) data subjects are made aware of their rights and the means of exercising them.

2.3. **Accountability:** the data protection framework of a country or international organisation should oblige data controllers and those processing personal data on their behalf to
comply with it and to be able to demonstrate such compliance, in particular to the competent supervisory authority.5

2.4. Support and help for individual data subjects to exercise their rights and appropriate redress mechanisms: individuals should be able to pursue legal remedies to enforce their rights rapidly, effectively and without prohibitive cost; this is also to ensure compliance. To do so there must be oversight mechanisms in place allowing complaints to be independently investigated and any infringements of the right to data protection and privacy to be identified and penalised in practice. Where rules are not complied with, data subjects should also be provided with effective administrative and judicial redress, including for compensation for damages as a result of the unlawful processing of their personal data. This is a key element which must involve a system of independent adjudication or arbitration which allows compensation to be paid and sanctions imposed where appropriate.

5 For instance, obligations to keep data protection documentation.